under acidic conditions. The synthetic 593A dihydrochloride (mp 280-290 °C dec) was identical in TLC behavior and spectral (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and MS) properties with natural 593A dihydrochloride.¹⁵

Acknowledgment. We thank the Robert A. Welch Foundation and Rice University for support of this work.

References and Notes

- Gitterman, C. O.; Rickes, E. L.; Wolf, D. E.; Madas, J.; Zimmerman, S. B.; Stoudt, T. H.; Demny, T. C. J. Antibiot. **1970**, *23*, 305.
 (2) (a) Tarnowski, G. S.; Schmid, F. A.; Hutchison, D. J.; Stock, C. C. Cancer
- (2) (a) Tarnowski, G. S.; Schmid, F. A.; Hutchison, D. J.; Stock, C. C. Cancer Chemother. Rep. 1973, 57, 21. (b) Folk, R. M.; Peters, A. C.; Pavkov, K. L.; Swenberg, J. A. Cancer Chemother. Rep., Part 3 1974, 5, 37.
- (3) Benjamin, R. S.; Keating, M. J.; Valdivieso, M.; McCredie, K. B.; Livingston, R. A.; Burgess, M. A.; Rodriguez, V.; Bodey, G. P.; Gottlieb, J. A. Cancer Treat. Rep. 1979, 63, 939, and references cited therein.
- (4) Pettit, G. R.; Von Dreele, R. B.; Herald, D. L.; Edgar, M. T.; Wood, H. B., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 6742.
- (5) Private communication from Professor R. J. Parry, Rice University
- (6) In an attempt to synthesize 593A, G. R. Pettit and his co-workers tried the dimerization of L-(5-chloro-2-pyridyl)glycine unsuccessfully: Edgar, M. T.; Pettit, G. R.; Krupa, T. S. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 396.
- (7) Bose, A. K.; Manhas, M. S.; Anjaneyulu, B.; Bhattacharya, S. K. Tetrahedron 1967, 23, 4769.
- (8) Prepared from p-nitrophenol in two steps [(1) P₂O₅, CH₂(OMe)₂, CH₂Cl₂, room temperature; (2) H₂-Pd/C, MeOH] in 89% overall yield, bp 108-110 °C (0.7 mm).
- (9) Prepared from 3,3-diethoxypropanal in four steps [(1) CH₂=CHCH₂MgBr, THF, reflux; (2) MsCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C; (3) O₃, MeOH-CH₂Cl₂(1:9), -78 °C; (4) Me₂S, Et₃N, MeOH-CH₂Cl₂(1:9), room temperature] in 50% overall yield, bp 68-70 °C (0.6 mm).
- (10) Satisfactory spectroscopic data were obtained for this substance.
- (11) Use of saturated aldehyde failed to give β -lactam under the same reaction conditions. On the other hand, α , β -acetylenic aldehyde gave a mixture of *cis* and *trans-\beta*-lactams in the ratio of 3:2, respectively.
- (12) Jacob, P., Ill; Callery, P. S.; Shulgin, A. T.; Castagnoli, N., Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 3627.
- (13) To obtain the desired *cis*-piperazinedione 7 as the sole product, it is necessary to resolve the racemic intermediates before dimerization. We are currently investigating the possibility of resolution.
- (14) Stereochemistry of the piperazinediones was tentatively assigned based on their TLC behavior and was later confirmed by the fact that 593A was synthesized from 7. For TLC comparison of *cis*- and *trans*-piperazinediones, see: Nitecki, D. E.; Halpern, B.; Westley, J. W. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 864.
- (15) We are indebted to Professor R. J. Parry, Rice University, for a sample of natural 593A dihydrochloride.

Tohru Fukuyama,* R. Keith Frank, Charles F. Jewell, Jr.

Department of Chemistry, Rice University Houston, Texas 77001 Received October 22, 1979

Photochemistry and Photocatalytic Activity of a Polynuclear Metal Carbonyl Hydride: Dodecacarbonyltetrahydridotetraruthenium

Sir:

We report here our preliminary findings concerning the photochemistry and photocatalytic activity of the polynuclear hydride $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$. While mononuclear hydrides and diand trinuclear clusters have received considerable study,¹ the only other tetranuclear carbonyl species that have been the object of detailed photochemical studies are $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5) Fe(CO)]_4^2$ and $HFeCo_3(CO)_{12-n}L_n$ (L = PPh₃; n = 0, 2)³ which undergo metal-to-solvent charge-transfer oxidation² and complex, inefficient declusterification,³ respectively. Interesting photoreactions of $H_4Os_4(CO)_{12}$ and $Ir_4(CO)_{12}$ have been reported,^{4,5} but the nature of the primary chemical result from irradiation has not been established. The $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$ cluster and its substituted derivatives are known catalyst precursors for olefin isomerization and hydrogenation⁶⁻⁸ and thus afford us a special opportunity with respect to studying light-activated catalysis, since the actual active species may be only one step away from the precursor $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$.⁶⁻⁸

The $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$ complex was synthesized according to



Figure 1. Infrared spectral changes accompanying near-UV (355 nm) irradiation of $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$ (~5 × 10⁻⁴ M) in the presence of PPh₃ (~10⁻¹ M) in *n*-pentane solution at 25 °C. Bands at 2081, 2067, 2030, 2025, and 2008 cm⁻¹ are due to $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$ and those growing with irradiation at 2094, 2057, 2027, 2014, and 2008 cm⁻¹ are due to H_4Ru_4 (CO)₁₁PPh₃. Curves 0, 1, 2, and 3 are after 0-, 20-, 40-, and 75-s irradiation, respectively.

the literature procedure.⁹ The yellow-orange complex exhibits an intense, near-UV absorption maximum at 362 nm (ϵ 17 500 M^{-1} cm⁻¹) with a tail into the visible in hydrocarbon solvents. Near-UV irradiation (355 \pm 20 nm, 1.2 \times 10⁻⁶ einstein/min) of the complex alone in deoxygenated isooctane solution at 25 °C and a concentration of $\sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$ M gives slow decomposition to unidentified products, but as a function of time the decomposition becomes markedly slower when the sample is sealed. Irradiation under the same conditions but in the presence of L $[L = P(OMe)_3 \text{ or } PPh_3]$ results in clean infrared spectral changes; data in Figure 1 are representative. The infrared bands in the CO stretching region that are associated with the product are identical with those reported¹⁰ for $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{11}L$. Continued near-UV irradiation results in additional infrared spectral changes consistent with further functionalization of the cluster to form $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12-n}L_n$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4), but, as shown in Figure 1 for $L = PPh_3$, monosubstituted clusters can be generated essentially quantitatively before multiple substitution products appear. The 366- or 436-nm quantum yield for the photosubstitution (eq 1) is $5 \pm$ 1×10^{-3} for either P(OMe)₃ or PPh₃ and a concentration of L = 0.01 or 0.1 M.

$$H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12} + L \xrightarrow{h\nu} H_4Ru_4(CO)_{11}L + CO \qquad (1)$$

Dinuclear, metal-metal-bonded, metal carbonyls generally undergo very efficient metal-metal bond homolysis subsequent to optical excitation,¹¹⁻¹³ while trinuclear complexes undergo inefficient declusterification.^{3,14-16} Presumably, the trinuclear complexes may undergo efficient metal-metal bond homolysis, but low declusterification yields result from efficient recoupling of the tethered radical centers. In the tetranuclear complexes where the lowest excitations involve transitions between orbitals delocalized over four metal atoms and where each metal atom is directly bonded to three others, it is less likely that complete metal-metal bond scission obtains. Rather, the optical excitation apparently results in metal-ligand cleavage as generally obtains for mononuclear metal carbonyls having metal-centered lowest excited states.¹ At this point we cannot

2-pentyne

24

Table I.	Photocata	lytic C	Chemistry	Using	H ₄ Ru ₄	$(CO)_{12}^{a}$
----------	-----------	---------	-----------	-------	--------------------------------	-----------------

	A. S	toichiom	etric R dn	educt	ion of	Olefins					
olefin		tin	time, h		% convn ^b		product(s) (%)				
1-pentene 3.3-dimethyl-1- pentene		-	7 1.5 5		9 5 4	<i>n</i> -pentane 3,3-dimethylpentane					
cyclopentene 1-pentyne		1: 2.	7 13 23		80 51 cyclo 74 1-pen <i>cis-2-</i> <i>trans</i>		entane ene (73) entene (2-pentene	10) e (13)			
2-pentyne		2:	23		n. 60 ci tr 1. n.		n-pentane (4) cis-2-pentene (75) trans-2-pentene (1) 1-pentene (24) n-pentane (<1)				
B. Photocatalytic 1-Pentene Isomerization											
irrdn time, h	ini [1-per]	tial itene], 1	redu to	6 ctn ^b D	ise 2-ne	% omn ^c to entenes	t/c ^d	Фе			
	0	1	n-per	7	<u></u>	24.6	1 20	* Isomer			
3	0.1		55		-	70.3	1.20	0.33			
7	0	.1	69		ç	93.0	1.63	0.19			
2	2	.0			1	2.5	1.8	1.59			
4	2.	.0			2	24.3	1.8	1.54			
6	2	2.0			4	41.9	1.9	1.77			
C. Photocatalytic Hydrogenation of Olefins (10 psi of H ₂) irrdn turnover olefin time, h no. ^f product(s) (%)											
cyclopentene 2 3,3-dimethyl- 2		24 24	206 127		cyclopentane 3,3-dimethylpentane						
1-pentene 2		24	84		<i>n</i> -pentane + equilibration of						
1-pentyne		24	11		pentenes 1-pentene (66) cis-2-pentene (13) trans-2-pentene (10) n-pentane (11)						

n-pentane (6) *a* All experiments were carried out in deoxygenated, dry benzene solutions of 5×10^{-4} M H₄Ru₄(CO)₁₂; 1-cm³ samples in Pyrex ampoules were irradiated at 25 °C with a GE Blacklite (355 ± 20 nm) providing $\sim 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$ einstein/min incident on the sample. Thermal controls in all cases showed little or no conversion to products. Except where noted otherwise, the olefin concentration is 0.1 M. ^b Based on the assumption that H₄Ru₄(CO)₁₂ can transfer two molecules of H₂. ^c Percent conversion of 1-pentene to 2-pentenes. ^d t/c is ratio of *trans*to *cis*-2-pentene isomerization products. ^e Number of 1-pentene molecules reacted per photon incident. ^f Number of reduced molecules after 24 h per molecule of H₄Ru₄(CO)₁₂ initially present.

137

1-pentene (2)

cis-2-pentene (19)

trans-2-pentene (73)

rule out light-induced metal-metal bond cleavage followed by substitution of the radical center(s).^{1,11,17} However, it is worth noting that the disubstituted cluster arises from irradiation of the monosubstituted cluster, whereas substitution of two radical centers would give some disubstituted product as a primary photoproduct. Finally, in this regard we note that CO bonded to transition metal surfaces can be photodissociated by optical excitation and the CO loss is not due to trivial heating effects.¹⁸ Thus, our clean CO photosubstitution of H₄Ru₄(CO)₁₂ may serve as a model for photodissociation of nonbridging CO from metal surfaces.

Mechanism aside, the photosubstitution of $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$ represents the successful functionalization of a large cluster

by photochemical means; this provides the foundation for studies aimed at specific levels of substitution and functionalization of large clusters. As detailed below, such chemistry is important in understanding the light-induced catalytic activity of the $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$ where the first step in the thermal catalysis is logically loss of CO followed by substrate binding.⁶⁻⁸

Near-UV irradiation of 5×10^{-4} M H₄Ru₄(CO)₁₂ has been carried out in the presence of 1-pentene and other olefins. Analysis of the organic products in the case of 1-pentene shows that the 1-pentene is catalytically isomerized to cis- and trans-2-pentene and slowly, stoichiometrically reduced to *n*-pentane. When the irradiation is carried out under the same conditions but also under 10 psi of H₂, we find catalytic generation of *n*-pentane. Data for 1-pentene and other olefins are given in Table I. Either stoichiometric or catalytic photoreduction of 2-pentyne initially yields principally cis-2-pentene; 1-pentyne initially yields principally 1-pentene. These results indicate that, once the conversion to the alkene takes place, the product is exchanged for another alkyne molecule at a rate which is faster than equilibration of the alkene product among its three isomers and faster than subsequent reduction to the corresponding alkane. Large turnover numbers have been found (Table I). By using a higher light intensity, we find turnover rates of >2000/h for isomerization of 1-pentene and >60/h for hydrogenation for 2 M 1-pentene and 10 psi of H₂ at 25 °C.

It is logical that photochemical loss of CO leads to catalytically active Ru species. At 2 M 1-pentene the observed quantum yield for alkene isomerization is ~ 1.6 where photosubstitution of CO by phosphines is occurring with a quantum yield of only 5×10^{-3} , consistent with the generation of a thermally catalytically active species. The ratio of isomerization to photosubstitution quantum yields indicates that each catalytically active species turns over \sim 300 times before another photon is needed. At 0.1 M 1-pentene the isomerization quantum yield is only 0.3, presumably because the active species may be competitively scavenged by the photoejected CO. At 10 psi of added CO, all isomerization and reduction activity of 0.1 M 1-pentene is suppressed. The suppression of catalytic activity by CO is consistent with the notion that photochemical CO loss is the key step in the photoactivation of $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$. The mechanism of alkene reaction is therefore likely to be first photosubstitution to form a labile olefin complex followed by hydride transfer and then reductive elimination to form reduced olefin. Isomerization of alkenes may occur by reversible hydride transfer or by a π -allyl-hydride mechanism.

The only IR-detectable Ru product from irradiation of $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$ in the presence of 1-pentene is H_2Ru_4 - $(CO)_{13}$.^{19,20} Recall, vide supra, that H₄Ru₄(CO)₁₂ does not lead to identifiable products in the absence of olefin or other potential ligands. Thus, the 1-pentene would appear to serve as a hydride acceptor and the resulting $H_2Ru_4(CO)_n$ fragment scavenges CO to yield the $H_2Ru_4(CO)_{13}$. Comparison of the photochemically produced $H_2Ru_4(CO)_{13}$ with authentic $H_2Ru_4(CO)_{13}$, prepared as previously described,¹⁹ confirms the product identity. The chemical yield of the photochemically produced $H_2Ru_4(CO)_{13}$ can be as high as 67%, but under irradiation it too effects the reduction of 1-pentene to n-pentane and the Ru product has not been identified.21 Characterization and isolation of the presumed $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{11}(alkene)$ has proven difficult. Irradiation routinely yields a weak IR peak at $\sim 2097 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ that may be attributable to the alkene complex, but this species has not been produced in sufficient concentration to allow characterization. To contrast our results on $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$, note that irradiation of $H_4Os_4(CO)_{12}$ in the presence of RCH=CH₂ yields H₃Os₄(CO)₁₁(HC₂HR).⁴ It would appear that, if such a species is produced from the $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$, it is far more thermally and/or photochemi-

Communications to the Editor

cally labile, since our main product, $H_2Ru_4(CO)_{13}$, does not contain hydrocarbon ligands.

The details of the mechanism of the light-induced chemistry are still under study, but for now the principal finding is that catalytic chemistry of olefins can be induced at lower temperatures than needed thermally. Previous studies⁶⁻⁸ show that $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$ and its substituted derivatives are thermal catalysts for alkene isomerization and hydrogenation, but the temperatures used are at least in the 70-80 °C range; we find no thermal chemistry on the same time scale as our photoreactions at 25 °C. We find an initial trans- to cis-2-pentene ratio from 1-pentene to be near that found thermally,^{6a} and the principal formation of 1-pentene from 1-pentyne and cis-2-pentene from 2-pentyne at low extent conversion parallels findings from the thermal catalysis.6b Thus, it would appear that the same catalyst is involved thermally and photochemically. Finally, the effect of added CO is to suppress both thermal⁶ and photochemical olefin reactions. On these grounds and the photosubstitution chemistry of $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$ we assert that photoinduced ejection of CO is contributory to achieving the catalytically active species, as is proposed in the thermal chemistry.⁶ These data do not yet constitute unequivocal proof that the Ru₄ core remains intact during catalysis, since small amounts of very active mononuclear catalysts may yet be present. However, the photosubstitution does take the system a step closer to the catalyst at lower temperatures than ordinarily needed. The $H_4Os_4(CO)_{12}$ photochemistry in the presence of alkenes is clearly related to our work⁴ and has led to isolable, apparently inert, Os₄ species that may be the result of CO ejection from $H_4Os_4(CO)_{12}$, but loss of hydrogen also occurs and it is not clear how this happens.

Preliminary results show that H₂Os₃(CO)₁₀, H₃Mn₃- $(CO)_{12}$, and $H_4Re_4(CO)_{12}$ can also effect stoichiometric reduction of olefins when irradiated at 25 °C. We do not imply that the metal core necessarily remains intact in these instances,²² but rather we mention these as examples to illustrate that other polynuclear hydrides aside from $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$ yield reactive reducing agents when irradiated.

Acknowledgments. We thank the National Science Foundation and the Office of Naval Research for support of this research. Partial support from GTE Laboratories, Inc., is also gratefully acknowledged. M.S.W. acknowledges support as a Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar grant recipient, 1975-1980, and J.L.G. as an Arthur D. Little Fellow in the Department of Chemistry MIT, Spring, 1979.

References and Notes

- (1) Geoffroy, G. L.; Wrighton, M. S. "Organometallic Photochemistry"; Academic Press: New York, 1979. Bock, C. R.; Wrighton, M. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1977,** *16*, 1309
- Geoffroy, G. L.; Epstein, R. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1977**, *16*, 2795.
 (a) Johnson, B. F. G.; Kelland, J. W.; Lewis, J.; Rehani, S. K. J. Organomet. (4)Chem. 1976, 113, C42. (b) Bhaduri, S.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Kelland, J. W.; Lewis, J.; Raithby, P. R.; Rehani, S.; Sheldrick, G. M.; Wong, K.; McPartlin,
- M. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 1979, 562.
 Heveldt, P. F.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; Raithby, P. R.; Sheldrick, G. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1978, 340.
- (6) (a) Valle, M.; Osella, D.; Vaglio, G. A. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1976**, *20*, 213. (b) Lausarot, P. M.; Vaglio, G. A.; Valle, M. *Ibid.* **1979**, *36*, 213; *Ibid.* **1977**, *25*, L107; *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1979**, *109*, 127.
- (7) (a) Vaglio, G. A.; Valle, M. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1978, 30, 161. (b) Lausarot, P. M.; Vaglio, G. A.; Valle, M. Transition Met. Chem. 1979, 4, 39.
- (a) Bianchi, M.; Frediani, P.; Matteoli, U.; Menchi, G.; Piacenti, F.; Botteghi, : Gladiali, S. Abstract 69 of International Symposium on Homogeneous Catalysis, Corpus Christi, Texas, 1978. (b) Otero-Schipper, Z.; Lieto, J.; Gates, B. C. Abstracts of Papers, 178th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., 1979; American Chemical Society; Washington, D.C., 1979; INOR 3. (c) Frediani, P.; Matteoli, U.; Blanchi, M.; Piacenti, F.; Menchi, G. J. Organomet. Chem. **1978**, *150*, 273. (d) Botteghi, C.; Blanchi, M.; Benedetti, E.; Matteoli, U. Chimia **1975**, *29*, 258.
- Knox, S. A. R.; Koepke, J. W.; Andrews, M. A.; Kaesz, H. D. J. Am. Chem Soc. 1975, 97, 3942. (9)
- (10) (a) Piacenti, F.; Bianchi, M.; Frediani, P.; Benedetti, E. Inorg. Chem. 1971, 10, 2759. (b) Knox, S. A. R.; Kaesz, H. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 4594.
- (11) Wrighton, M. S.; Ginley, D. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 2065, 4246, 4908

- Abrahamson, H. B.; Palazzotto, M. C.; Reichel, C. L.; Wrighton, M. S. J. Am. (13)Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 4123
- (14) Graff, J. L.; Sanner, R. D.; Wrighton, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 273.
- (15) Austin, R. G.; Paonessa, R. S.; Giordano, P. J.; Wrighton, M. S. Adv. Chem. Ser. 1978, No. 168, 189
- (16) Epstein, R. A.; Gaffney, T. R.; Geoffroy, G. L.; Gladfelter, W. L.; Henderson, R. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1979**, *101*, 3847, (a) Byers, B. H.; Brown, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1975**, *97*, 947, 3260; **1977**, (17)
- 99, 2527. (b) Absi-Halabi, M.; Brown, T. L. Ibid. 1977, 99, 2982. (c) Kidd, D. R.; Brown, T. L. Ibid. 1978, 100, 4103.
- (18) Koel, B. E.; White, J. M.; Erskine, J. L.; Antoniewicz, P. R. Adv. Chem. Ser. 1980, No. 184, 27 Canty, A. J.; Domingos, A. J. P.; Johnson, B. F. G., Lewis, J. J. Chem. Soc., (19)
- Dalion Trans. 1973, 2056. Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; Williams, I. G.; *J. Chem. Soc. A* 1970, 901. (20)
- (21) H₂Ru₄(CO)₁₃ is a known thermal catalyst for 1-pentene isomerization at ~70 °C: Vaglio, G. A.; Osella, D.; Valle, M. *Transition Met. Chem.* 1977. 2, 94. We find slow 25 °C, catalytic isomerization that can be accelerated by near-UV irradiation. We detect no thermal conversion to *n*-pentane at 25 °C.
- (22) Indeed, recent results show that $H_3Mn_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ is declusterified by light. 16

James L. Graff, Mark S. Wrighton*

Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 Received September 28, 1979

Convenient Method for Regiospecific Carbon-Carbon Bond Formation at the γ Position of Allylic Halides

Sir:

We here disclose a new synthetic reaction for the regiospecific formation of a carbon-carbon bond at the γ position of an allylic bromide (1). The method simply involves stirring 1 and the α -methylthio ketone (2, Y = COR) in the presence of 2 M aqueous K_2CO_3 to give exclusively a substitution product (3, Y = COR) of the S_N2' type without contamination of any of its regioisomers (4, Y = COR) (Scheme I).

A typical procedure is as follows. To a mixture of l equiv of 2 and 1.6 equiv of 1 was added 2 equiv of 2 M aqueous K_2CO_3 . This mixture was stirred for several days (see Table I) and extracted with CH2Cl2; the dried extract was evaporated; and the residue was column chromatographed (silica gel) or distilled to provide $3^{1,3}$ It is noteworthy that, in every case, 4 was not detected by an NMR analysis of the reaction mixture.⁴ The yields of 3 obtained by the reaction of (methylthio)acetone (2, Y = COCH₃) and ω -(methylthio)acetophenone (2, Y = COPh) with a variety of allylic bromides are shown in Table I.

For the present reaction, the mechanism involving nucleophilic attack of the carbanion of **2** on the γ position of **1** seems unfeasible by the following reasons: (i) The acidity of 2 is too low to be deprotonated by K_2CO_3 ; (ii) even if the carbanion

Scheme I



[Y = an electron-withdrawing group]

© 1980 American Chemical Society